Table I shows the precision of this assay method. The indicated $1 \Sigma$ standard deviation calculated from these data is $\pm 0.025$. This amounts to about $\pm 3 \%$ of the amount present.

Table II shows a comparison between the U.S.P. chemical assay and the X-ray absorption edge method. The X-ray values are all single assays, the chemical assays are the final resul. reported by the Lilly control division and are averages of several assays.

## CONCLUSION

The X-ray absorption edge assay is a useful method. In the case of the iodine control of thyroid extract the values obtained by X-ray are comparable to those obtained by the chemical method.

The X-ray method requires, in our hands, about 1 hour. This allows about 0.5 hour for measurements and 0.5 hour for preparation and calculations.

It is important to realize that the method may be applied to other elements. Using the relatively simple apparatus described here, elements having an absorption edge in the region from about $0.3 \AA$. to about $2.0 \AA$., that is, from cerium to manganese in atomic number can be determined. With a more complicated apparatus, the list of elements can be expanded.
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# Kinetics and Mechanism of Isomerization and Hydrolysis of 4,6-Diamino-1-(3,5)-dichlorophenyl-1,2-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-1,3,5-triazine in Dilute Aqueous Solution 

By D. H. SZULCZEWSKI, C. M. SHEARER, and A. J. AGUIAR


#### Abstract

Rate measurements, concurrent with reaction product identification, indicate that there are three routes by which inactivation of the title compound can occur: (a) unimolecular isomerization of free triazine; (b) unimolecular isomerization of protonated triazine; (c) acid catalyzed hydrolysis of protonated triazine. The relative importance of these reactions on drug decomposition for various pH values is determined. Likewise, rate studies at critical pH values and several elevated temperatures enable accurate estimation of rate of degradation at any temperature. Equations are derived relating specific rate to both pH and temperature. Identification of reaction products by means of thin-layer chromatography shows that besides the 6 -dichloroanilino isomer and 3,5 -dichlorophenyl-biguanide hydrolysis product, $N$-3,5-dichlorophenyl- $N^{\prime}$-guanylurea is formed as a secondary product of degradation.


IN RECENT years a variety of substituted dihydrotriazines has been reported in several areas of chemotherapeutic research (1-3). Although many dihydrotriazines have been prepared and screened, there is little information available concerning their stability in aqueous systems. Likewise, information concerning the likely route and rate of degradation is meager.

In a communication by Carrington et al. (3) concerned with isolation, identification, and synthesis of the active metabolite of chlorguanide hydrochloride, ${ }^{1}$ isomerization and hydrolysis of 1-phenyl-dihydrotriazines to nonactive products was noted. This suggests that loss of chemotherapeutic activity is possible and that relative

[^0]rates of destruction need to be known to determine the feasibility of liquid formulations having a suitable shelf life.

The following study, concerned with the kinetics of isomerization and hydrolysis of 4,6-diamino-1-(3,5) - dichlorophenyl-1,2-dihydro-2.2-dimethyl-1,3,5-triazine ${ }^{2}(\mathrm{I})$ in aqueous buffered solution, was carried out to determine these rates and to determine the chemistry of the degradative process.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Order and Nature of Degradative Process.Representative data obtained on the rate of degradation of 1 in aqueous buffered solution at $45^{\circ}$ is shown in Fig. 1. This, together with studies at other temperatures, indicates that in aqueous buffered solution the decomposition of $I$ is first order with respect to I over a broad pH range.
The rate of degradation was not influenced by changes in concentration of buffer (Table I). Likewise, the observed rate remained constant in

[^1]extremely alkaline solutions despite a tenfold change in base concentration ( NaOH ) from 0.05 to 0.5 N . However, neutral salt did cause changes in the rate of reaction when carried out in the presence of strong acid (Fig. 2).

The nature of the product or products of the degradative reaction at various pH 's was determined by thin-layer chromatography. This was necessary since the ultraviolet absorption spectra (Fig. 3) of both primary and secondary reaction products were too similar to permit spectrum analysis in mixtures. Chromatograms obtained on samples drawn from solutions held at $45^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4) indicated that the isomer, 4 -amino-6-(3,5)dichloroanilino-1,2-dihydro2,2 -dimethyl-1,3,5-triazine (II) is the only product of degradation over the broad pH range of 13 to 5 . From pH 5 to 2 mixtures of 3,5 -dichlorophenylbiguanide (III) and isomer (II) are found, whereas in extremely acid systems ( pH 2 or less) only the biguandine or its hydrolysis product $N$-3,5-dichloro-phenyl- $N^{\prime}$-guanyl urea (IV) are observed.


Fig. 1.-Degradation of I at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in aqueous solution at various pH 's ( $\mathrm{F}=$ fraction I remaining).

Table I.-Effect of Buffer Concentration on Observed Rate of Degradation at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.



Fig. 2.-Rate of acid catalyzed hydrolysis at various ionic strengths but constant pH . $\mathrm{pH}=$ 1.4 maintained with $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$. Ionic strength varied by changing concentration of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$.

Fig. 3.-Ultraviolet spectra in pH 7 phosphate buffer. Key: I, 4,6-diamino - 1 - (3,5) -dichlorophenyl-1,2dihydro - 2,2-dimethyl - 1,3,5-triazine; II, 4 -amino6 - $(3,5)$ - dichloro-anilino-1,2-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-1,3,5triazine; and III, 3,5-dichlorophenylbiguanide.


Fig. 4.-Thin-layer chromatograms obtained on solutions of partially degraded I at $45^{\circ}$. pH refers to pH of aqueous solution of I. Solution spotted directly after approximately two half-lives. Top boundary represents solvent front. Bottom boundary represents point of application.



III

IV

Variation of Reaction Rate with pH.-Variation of the observed first-order rate constant with pH is shown in Fig. 5 for two temperatures. In brief, the pH profile is characterized by the following regions (at $65^{\circ}$ ): $A, \mathrm{pH} 1$ to 2.5 straight line, slope $-1 ; B, \mathrm{pH} 4$ to 5.5 plateau region; $C, \mathrm{pH} 8$ to 9.5


Fig. 5.-Plot of the logarithm of the observed specific rate $\nu s . \mathrm{pH}$ over the entire pH range. $65^{\circ}$ solid line represents results of calculating observed specific rate by Eq. 13 . Open circles represent experimentally determined values.

Table II.- $k_{1}$ Values Calculated ar $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{pKa}^{\prime}=10.0$

|  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| pH | $\log k_{\mathrm{obr}}$ | $\log k_{1}$ |
| 9.6 | 0.00 | 0.40 |
| 8.8 | -0.62 | 0.58 |
| 8.2 | -1.19 | 0.61 |
| 7.9 | -1.55 | 0.45 |
|  |  | Av. |
|  |  | 0.51 |

straight line, slope $+1 ; D, \mathrm{pH} 10.5$ to 13 plateau region.

Region D.-The independence of rate on concentration of alkali suggests two possibilities for the reaction occurring in region $D: a$, unimolecular isomerization or $b$, base-catalyzed isomerization of monoprotonated I.

The rate law governing the first premise would simply be

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d \ln I / d t=k_{1} \tag{Eq.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

while that for the second
$-d \ln I / d t=k_{\mathrm{OH}^{-}}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) /\left[\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)+\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime}\right]\right\} \cdot\left(\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right) \tag{Eq.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime}=$ acid dissociation constant of $\mathrm{IH}^{+}$, which under conditions where ( $\mathrm{H}^{+}$) $\ll \mathrm{Ka}$ reduces to

$$
-d \ln I / d t=k_{\mathrm{OH}^{-}} \cdot K_{w} / \mathrm{Ka}^{\prime}=k^{*} \quad(\mathrm{Eq.} 3)
$$

Both reactions would yield identical behavior with respect to the influence of pH on rate and would therefore be kinetically indistinguishable. However, the second possibility, involving attack of negatively charged hydroxyl ion on a protonated species bearing a positive charge, would be expected to show a primary salt effect (4). Since no salt effect is observed, Eq. 1 is favored.

The first possibility requires that pH affect the rate of degradation only by controlling the relative proportion of protonated and free I, not by fixing the concentration of a catalytic specie. For total drug the applicable rate law would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d \ln I_{T} / d t=k_{1}\left\{\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime} /\left[\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)+\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime}\right]\right\} \tag{Eq.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{T}=$ total amount of $I$ present regardless oi ionic condition. From Eq. 4, in buffered solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{obz}}=k_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{Ka}^{\prime} /\left[\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)+\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime}\right] \tag{Eq.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{\text {obe }}=$ observed first-order rate constant. At $65^{\circ}$ where $\mathrm{pKa}{ }^{\prime}=10.0$, if $\mathrm{pH} \geq 11.5$, Eq. 4 simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\text {obe }}=k_{1} \tag{Eq.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\log k_{\mathrm{ob}}=\log k_{1}
$$

and if $\mathrm{pH} \leq 9.5$, Eq. 4 may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log k_{\mathrm{ob}}=\log k_{1}-\mathrm{pKa}^{\prime}+\mathrm{pH} \tag{Eq.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 6 would predict that at pH's equal to or greater than 11.5, $k_{\mathrm{Db}}$ should be essentially independent of hydroxyl ion concentration. Equation 7 predicts a straight line with slope +1 at pH 's less than 9.5. As seen from Fig. 5 (regions $C$ and $D$ ) these conditions are realized.

Equations 6 and 7 provide a check for consistency since $k_{1}$ can be independently calculated from two different regions of the pH profile. From the plateau in the extremely alkaline region $k_{1}$ at $65^{\circ}$ is, $k_{1}=3.14 \mathrm{hr} .^{-1}$ or $\log k_{1}=0.50$. Table II lists values of $k_{1}$, as calculated from Eq. 7, for the pH region 8 to 9.5 (region C, Fig. 5).

It is evident that the average value of $k_{1}$ calculated from Eq. 7 is in good agreement with the value of $k_{1}$ obtained by extrapolating values of $k_{1}$, determined directly at lower temperatures, to $65^{\circ}$.

Region B.-The plateau region, pH 4 to 6 at $65^{\circ}$, is apparently due to unimolecular isomerization of monoprotonated I or $\mathrm{IH}^{+} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}_{3}} \mathrm{IIH}^{+}$.

The rate law consistent with the data from pH 13 to 4 would become
$-d \ln I_{T} / d t=k_{k_{2}}\left\{\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime} /\left[\left(\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime}\right) /\left[\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime}+\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\right]\right\}+\right.$
which reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{oba}}=k_{2} \tag{Eq.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the region of interest.
Region A.-Continuing to lower pH's (4 to 1) an increase in $\log k_{\text {obe }}$ is seen. The reaction responsible for this increase appears to be acid cata${ }_{k}$ lyzed hydrolysis of $I$ to the biguanide $\mathrm{IH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}^{+}}$ $\mathrm{IIIH}^{+}+$acetone. If this were the case, the rate law applicable would be ( pH 6 to 1) with water in great excess:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d \ln I_{T} / d t=k_{2}+k_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) \tag{Eq.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $k_{\text {oba }}=k_{2}+k_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$and at sufficiently low pH so that $k_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) \gg k_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log k_{\mathrm{ob}}=\log k_{3}-\mathrm{pH} \tag{Eq.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above expression leads to the expectation of a region with a slope of -1 in the pH profile.

Fig. 6. $-\log k_{\text {oba }}$ as a function of the square root of ionic strength at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. at pH 1.85 and pH 1.40 . pH maintained with $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$, ionic strengtb changed by varying concentration of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$.

Table III.-Calculated Values at Two Temperatures

| Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | Ka, | $k_{1}\left(\mathrm{hr},{ }^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{1}\left(\mathrm{hr} .^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{3}\left(\mathrm{hr}, .^{-1}\right.$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | $1 \times 10^{-10}$ | 3.16 | $5 \times 10^{-8}$ | 3.24 |
| 45 | $3.16 \times 10^{-11}$ | $2.18 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5 \times 10^{-1}$ |

Table IV.-Heats of Activation

| pH or <br> pH Range | Log <br> Frequency <br> Factor | $\Delta H_{a}$ <br> (Kcal. mole ${ }^{-1}$ ) <br> 12 or greater |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 17.84 | 26.7 |
| 8.7 | 20.14 | 30.7 |
| 8.2 | 23.62 | 37.5 |
| 7.0 | 23.83 | 38.5 |
| 4.4 | 21.06 | 35.5 |
| 1.4 | 17.70 | 31.0 |

In this acidic region the reaction is reversible (3). However, under the experimental conditions of low concentration and great excess of water, the concentration of $\mathrm{IH}^{+}$at equilibrium is extremely low so that the reaction may be considered to be irreversible. Plots of $\log F$ versus time over a period of at least one half-life showed no deviation from linearity.

The rate of acid catalyzed hydrolysis would be expected to show a positive primary salt effect since two positively charged ions would be involved in the formation of the activated complex (4). As seen in Fig. 6, this is observed experimentally. Since region $A$ of the pH profile is the only region in which a salt effect is observed, further evidence for the reaction as written is obtained.

The over-all relationship of $k_{\text {obs }}$ as a function of pH for the range $I$ to 14 would then be

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{\mathrm{obb}}=k_{\mathrm{t}}\left\{\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime} /\left[\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime}+\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\right]\right\}+ \\
& \left\{\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) /\left[\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime}+\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\right]\left[k_{2}+k_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{Eq.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Values of $\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{2}}$, and $\boldsymbol{k}_{3}$ for two temperatures are listed in Table III. In this equation, the effect of ionic strength on $k_{3}$ is ignored because although real, it is of minor importance compared to the large effect of pH on the over-all rate.

Substitution of the values from Table III in Eq. 12 gives a relationship which closely approximates the observed results over the whole pH range (Fig. 5).

Temperature Dependence.-Arrhenius plots at representative pH 's were made and the information in Table IV obtained.

The assumption that the same reaction occurs over the pH range 14 to 6 or 7 requires that the heats of activation determined at various pH 's over this range be the same. As is evident from Table IV, the observed activation energies at pH 8.2 and 8.7 are considerably higher than the values obtained for pH 12 or greater.

The equation for $k_{0 \text { be }}$ as a function of pH and $\mathrm{pKa}^{\prime}$ in region $C$ (straight-line portion, slope +1 ) is
shown by Eq. 7 while that in the alkaline plateau region $D$ is shown by Eq. 6. Differentiating with respect to $1 / \mathrm{T}$, holding pH constant,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\partial \log k_{\mathrm{oba}} /[\partial /(1 / \mathrm{T})]\right\}_{\mathrm{pH}}= \\
& \left\{\partial \log k_{1} /[\partial /(1 / \mathrm{T})]\right\}_{\mathrm{pH}} \\
& +\{\partial \mathrm{pKa} /[\partial /(1 / \mathrm{T})]\}_{\mathrm{pH}}  \tag{Eq.13}\\
& \left\{\partial \log k_{\mathrm{obs}} /[\partial(1 / \mathrm{T})]\right\}_{\mathrm{pH}}= \\
& -\left\{\partial \log k_{1} /[\partial(1 / \mathrm{T})]\right\}_{\mathrm{pH}} \tag{Eq.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations 13 and 14 indicate that the apparent heat, as determined in region $C$, should differ from that as determined in region $D$ by the heat of ionization of $\mathrm{IH}^{+}$, i.e.,

$$
\left[\partial \mathrm{oKa}^{\prime} / \partial(1 / \mathrm{T})\right]=\Delta H_{i} / 2.3 R . T
$$

Attempts were made to determine the heat of ionization by an independent procedure. Unfortunately, conventional spectrophotometric determination of this quantity is very difficult. The instability of the drug at pH 's near the $\mathrm{pKa}^{\prime}$ ( 10 to 11) precludes accurate spectrophotometric measurement at elevated temperatures. Also, there are inherent changes in absorptivity with temperature, not due to changes in sample composition, which further complicate the determination. In spite of these difficulties, an approximate value of 7 Kcal . mole ${ }^{-1}$ was obtained spectrophotometrically.

Although not providing an independent measurement, a better value is obtained from rate data: rearranging Eq. 5 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{oba}}\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) / k_{1} /\left[1-\left(k_{\mathrm{obs}} / k_{1}\right)\right]=\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime} \tag{Eq.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which under pH conditions such that $k_{\mathrm{obo}} / k_{1} \ll 1$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pKa}^{\prime}=\mathrm{pH}-\log k_{\mathrm{obs}} / k_{1} \tag{Eq.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This provides for a check of consistency, because if Eq. 16 is valid, it should give the known $\mathrm{pKa}^{\prime}$ at room temperature from rate data obtained at elevated temperatures.

The values of $k_{1}$ and $k_{\text {obe }}$ ( pH 8 to 9 ) can be calculated at any temperature from the data in Table III: $k_{1}=17.84-26,700 / 2.3 \times 1.98 \times \mathrm{T}$ at pH 8.2; $k_{\text {obs }}=23.83-38,500 / 2.3 \times 1.98 \times \mathrm{T}$ at $\mathrm{pH} 8.7 ; k_{\mathrm{ob}}=23.62-37,500 / 2.3 \times 1.98 \times \mathrm{T}$. Table V gives the values of pKa obtained by calculation at various temperatures.

The value obtained at $25^{\circ}$ is in good agreement with that obtained spectrophotometrically (11.0) at room temperature. This agreement would strongly suggest that the 11 Kcal . difference between the heats of activation as determined in region $D$

Table V.-Calculated pKa' Values

| Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | $\longrightarrow \log k_{1}-\log k_{\text {obem }}$ |  | $\sim$ - $\mathrm{pKa}^{\prime}$ as Determined from Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | pH 8.2 | pH 8.7 | 8.2 | ${ }_{8.7}^{\text {at }} \mathrm{PH}$ | Av. |
| 65 | 1.75 | 1.27 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| 45 | 2.30 | 1.82 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| 25 | 2.80 | 2.12 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 10.9 |

Fig. 7 -Absorbancy ratio ( $A_{\lambda_{240}}$ / $A_{\text {d263 }}$ ) as a function of fraction drug remaining Solvent: $\quad \mathrm{pH} 7$ phosphate buffer. Key: -O--, mixtures of $I$ and 11 ; --O---, mixtures of $I$ and III.


Fig. 8.-Thinlayer chromatograms obtained on acidic (0.04 $N$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ) solutions of I concurrent with analysis.

and $C$ is, in fact, due to the heat of ionization of $\mathrm{IH}^{+}$and that the true heat of activation is the same in both regions.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Kinetic Procedure.-A standard solution (approx. $0.001 M$ with respect to I) was prepared. This was diluted tenfold into various buffers held at the temperature of the run. Samples of the thermostated solutions were withdrawn periodically and diluted tenfold into pH 7 phosphate buffer for spectrophotometric analysis of intact drug. The pH was maintained by using dilute $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ at pH 's below 2, glycine- HCl for pH 2 to 4, phosphate from 4 to 8 , borate buffers in the region 8 to 10 , carbonate for 10 to 11 , and dilute NaOH (carbonate free) for pH 's above 11. The actual pH of the buffered solution was measured at the temperature of the run with a Beckman model G pH meter. It did not vary more than 0.1 pH units during the course of a run.

Analysis.-The solution of partially decomposed drug was diluted tenfold into pH 7 phosphate buffer. These dilutions were scanned immediately using a Cary model 14 spectrophotometer. The ratio of absorbances at $240 \mathrm{~m} \mu$ to $265 \mathrm{~m} \mu$ was determined and the fraction of $I$ remaining was read off a standard plot (Fig. 7). This procedure gives a sensitive measure of intact drug in the region 0 to $40 \%$ loss which is quite adequate for rate studies on pharmaceutical systems where the greatest emphasis is on an accurate estimate of $10 \%$ loss.

The similarity in ultraviolet spectra of the biguanide and its hydrolysis product makes a separate analytical procedure unnecessary. In addition, thin-layer chromatograms obtained concurrent with analysis (Fig. 8) demonstrated that the rate of conversion of biguanide to substituted urea was small compared to the rate of hydrolysis of I. Thus no $N-3,5$-dichlorophenyl- $N^{\prime}$-guanylurea could be detected until approximately $50 \%$ of the drug was hydrolyzed.

Thin-Layer Chromatographic Analysis.--Solutions of $\mathrm{I}, 0.1 \%$ in various buffers were prepared and stored at $45^{\circ}$ for approximately two half-life periods. Five microliters of these solutions was spotted
directly on chromatographic plates prepared with aluminum oxide Fluka D5 containing a fluorescent indicator.

The plates were developed using pH 6 phosphate buffer until the solvent front had moved 6.5 cm . When viewed under a short wave ultraviolet lamp, the ultraviolet absorbing components appeared as dark spots on the yellow fluorescing background. The $R$, values were not completely reproducible. but the various spots were identified by spotting knowns on the same plate.

Characterization of Secondary Hydrolysis Product.-One gram of I was dissolved to 20 ml . $1 N \mathrm{HCl}$ and heated on the steam bath for 1 day. Likewise, 50 mg . of 3,5 -dichlorophenylbiguanide was dissolved in 0.5 ml .1 NHCl and treated similarly. In both cases, crystalline material was precipitated whose infrared spectra were identical. The precipitates had an $R_{f}$ equal to that of the slow moving component observed during kinetic runs at pH 's 3 or less. Physical data on the crystalline precipitate from I are as follows: U.V.: (in pH 7 phosphate buffer) $\lambda 254 \epsilon=19,060$; m.p. 189-191 (hot stage).

Anal.-Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OCl}_{2} \mathrm{HCl} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$, $32.0, \mathrm{H}, 3.6 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.6 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 35.5 ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 6.0$. Found: C, $32.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.4 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.4 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 36.6 ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5.0$.
$N$-3,5-Dichlorophenyl- $N^{\prime}$-guanylurea was synthesized by Henry's procedure (5) and found identical with the above precipitates.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The kinetics of degradation of 4,6 -diamino- 1 -(3,5)-dichlorophenyl-1,2-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-1,3,5triazine in dilute aqueous solutions have been investigated.

Variations of specific rate with pH gave a profile consistent with the expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{\mathrm{obs}}= & k_{1}\left\{\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime} /\left[\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime}+\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& +\left\{\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) /\left\{\mathrm{Ka}^{\prime}+\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\right]\left[k_{2}+k_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

derived on the basis of: (a) unimolecular isomerization of both protonated and free drug, and (b) acid catalyzed hydrolysis of protonated drug.

Variation of specific rate with temperature was determined and found to comply with
pH
11 or greater $\log k=17.84-26,700 / 2.3 R . T$.
10
$\log k=20.14-36,700 / 2.3 R . T$.
8.7 $\log k=23.62-37.500 / 2.3 R . T$.
$8.2 \quad \log k=23.83-38,500 / 2.3 R . T$.
$7.0 \quad \log k=21.06-35,500 / 2.3 R . T$.
$4.4 \quad \log k=17.70-31,000 / 2.3 R . T$.
$1.4 \quad \log k=13.79-22,600 / 2.3$ R.T.
At $45^{\circ}$ the isomer (4-amino-6-(3,5)-dichloro-anilino-1,2-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-1,3,5-triazine) was found to be produced in the pH range 13 to 5. Between pH's 5 and 3 both isomer and 3,5-dichloro-phenyl-biguanide are products, while at more acid pH's only biguanide or its hydrolysis product $N$-3,5-dichlorophenyl- $N^{\prime}$-guanylurea are observed.
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